

Pi	RFORMANCE '	TRENDS	OVER 4	1-YEAR	PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Good	Excellent	N/A
2003 2004	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM							
		Our Schoo	ıl	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	53.8	50.4	43.2	53.0	49.4	46.0	
Passed 2 subtests	18.7	19.8	23.5	21.8	22.9	23.3	
Passed 1 subtest	16.5	17.6	9.9	14.8	15.1	16.7	
Passed no subtests	11.0	12.2	23.5	10.4	12.6	13.5	

	n Passage pring 2003		for LIFE			
n	-	Scholar	Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate	
	% 91.9	n 65	% 3.1	n 90	% 72.2	
74	91.9	65	3.1	90	12.2	
0.5	20.0	40	0.0		50.0	
					52.8	
49	93.9	46	4.3	54	85.2	
64	90.6	58	3.4	81	71.6	
1	I/S	0	N/A	0	N/A	
9	100.0	7	0.0	9	77.8	
N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
1	I/S	5	0.0	11	45.5	
73	91.8	60	3.3	79	75.9	
N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
1	I/S	65	3.1	0	N/A	
N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
74	91.9	65	3.1	89	73.0	
59	89.8	45	2.2	73	61.6	
15	100.0	20	5.0	17	99.0	
ntage is cald	ulated					
	1 9 N/A 1 73 N/A 1 N/A 74 59 15 ntage is calc	49 93.9 64 90.6 1 I/S 9 100.0 N/A N/A 1 I/S 73 91.8 N/A N/A 1 I/S N/A N/A 74 91.9	49 93.9 46 64 90.6 58 1 1/S 0 9 100.0 7 N/A N/A 0 1 1/S 5 73 91.8 60 N/A N/A 0 1 1/S 65 N/A N/A 0 74 91.9 65	49 93.9 46 4.3 64 90.6 58 3.4 1 I/S 0 N/A 9 100.0 7 0.0 N/A N/A 0 N/A 1 I/S 5 0.0 73 91.8 60 3.3 N/A N/A 0 N/A 1 I/S 65 3.1 N/A N/A 0 N/A 74 91.9 65 3.1	49 93.9 46 4.3 54 64 90.6 58 3.4 81 1 I/S 0 N/A 0 9 100.0 7 0.0 9 N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 1 I/S 5 0.0 11 73 91.8 60 3.3 79 N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 1 I/S 65 3.1 0 N/A N/A 0 N/A 0 74 91.9 65 3.1 89	

Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
3.1	2.9
3.1	3.7
36.9	34.9
	3.1

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Calhoun County High				90100
SCHOOL PROFILE				
C	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 640)				
Retention rate	14.1%	Up from 2.1%	7.7%	7.3%
Attendance rate	95.7%	Down from 97.1%	95.2%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	3.7%	Up from 3.4%	2.8%	5.1%
	10.5%	Up from 10.3%	14.5%	12.2%
Older than usual for grade	5.5%	Down from 11.2%	15.1%	10.1%
Suspended or expelled	17.0%	Up from 8.5%	1.8%	2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	0.0%	N/A	N/A	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	Down from 1.4%	3.0%	2.7%
	11.2%	Up from 2.9%	3.8%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	385	Up from 383	284	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	46.1%	Up from 9.6%	22.4%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	72.1%	Up from 68.4%	68.3%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	97.3%	Up from 95.4%	98.3%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 37)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	59.5%	Up from 52.6%	42.9%	51.7%
	89.2%	Up from 84.2%	78.4%	81.8%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	90.3%	Up from 87.2%	81.8%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.2%	Down from 95.4%	95.0%	95.8%
	\$42,446	Up 1.4%	\$39,201	\$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	8.6 days	Down from 9.6 days	11.3 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school	1.0	Down from 4.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	23.6 to 1	Down from 26.9 to 1	22.2 to 1	26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time	86.9%	Down from 90.3%	87.6%	90.1%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$8,101	Up 9.0%	\$7,532	\$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	54.3%	Up from 53.5%	56.9%	57.8%
	Excellent	No change	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	70.1%	Down from 82.7%	84.7%	87.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	,	Our Dis	•	•

* Prior \	ear audited	financial	data	are	reported

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Calhoun County High is a rural comprehensive high school serving grades 9th -12th with an anticipated student population of 507 for the 2003-2004 school year. This school has a 75 percent minority population with 85 percent receiving free/reduced lunch.

Calhoun County High School's academic program operates on a 4 x 4 block schedule. The faculty, staff, and administration held academic achievement for all students as a primary focus. This included efforts to increase parental involvement.

Success was realized with the implementation of the Center for Academic Excellence, the utilization of PLATO, and other computer-aided instruction (such as NovaNet).

Our faculty and staff have been involved in numerous staff development activities and instructional conferences, including: Scaffolding, Teaching on the Block, Establishing an Effective Freshman Academy, Setting High Expectations for all Student, Curriculum Calibration, High School Assessment Program (HSAP), Integrating CATE Courses Across the Curriculum, High Schools That Work, and Working With ESOL Students, just to name a few.

The 2003-2004 school year will be the inaugural/planning year for HSTW. HSTW is the acronym for High Schools That Works. Calhoun County High School is one of 11 new sites across the state to be accepted in the national initiative. The national goal of HSTW is to engage state, district, and school leaders and teachers in partnership with students, parents, and the community to improve the way high school students are prepared for work and further education. Our hopes at CCHS is to cultivate the expectation of high achievement, work closely with parents and the community, build teams spirit through consistent instruction and discipline, and cultivate effective staff development to ensure that "no child is left behind".

We have appreciated the support given by our parents and the community. We will continue to solicit parent/community involvement as we work together to ensure our children will have the opportunity to realize their fullest potential and become successful contributors to society.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students	Parents			
Number of surveys returned	4	87	0			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	I/S	55.2%	N/R			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	I/S	60.5%	N/R			
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	I/S	69.0%	N/R			

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.